

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,924		11/21/2003	Jack Thiesen	MIC-42 (P50-0092)	2390	
22827	7590	12/27/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
DORITY & POST OFFI		•	ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A			
	GREENVILLE, SC 29602-1449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2635		
			•	DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/718,924	THIESEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian A. Zimmerman	2635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.	acquition as to the specific is					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	0.0.210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-14,18-30 and 32-39</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>15-17 and 31</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa						
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/22 & 11/03 2004</u> .	6) Other:	itent Application (FTO-192)					
C Detect and Tondomed Office							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/718,924

Art Unit: 2635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "said plurality of acoustic wave resonators" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

e) the invention was described in — (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the inven-tion by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for the purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language;

Art Unit: 2635

2. Claims 1-7,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Dinello (2004-0242486).

Dinello shows a tire sensor electronic assembly that includes a condition responsive device that senses the temperatures pressure of the tire, see paragraph 36. Also in paragraph 36, Dinello discusses the device being used to transmit information regarding other tire parameters including tread wear. An RF source (interpreted as either the transmitter 42 or the receiver antenna associated with the SAW 32) that is used to excite a SAW at resonant frequency levels to generate an output signal in response to the RF signal. Dinello includes an antenna 60 which is used to pass the generated signal and switching element (paragraph 34) associated with the battery to save power.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 8-14,19-30,32-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dinello as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Breed (2004/0130442).

In an analogous art, Breed teaches wireless sensors on a vehicle that measure tire temperature pressure and report the data to a vehicle controller.

Paragraph 385 discusses that each sensor can include it's own microprocessor and each device (sensor) would have an address such that it would respond only to information containing it's address. This would provide a cleaner communication system in that it would remove unsolicited communication.

Additional such would provide increased battery saving in that a particular device need not transmit unnecessarily wasting power.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a microprocessor with each sensor of Dinello to make the sensors addressable as suggested by Breed.

4. Claims 15-17,31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian A. Zimmerman whose telephone number is 571-272-3059. The examiner can normally be reached on Off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Horabik can be reached on 571-272-3068. The fax

Application/Control Number: 10/718,924

Art Unit: 2635

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian W Zimmerman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2635

BAZ